



Date	Question/Comment	Source
------	------------------	--------

The proposed BPCA Resiliency plans, almost every one of them, proposed to move the Ferry Terminal further north to be in front of Riverhouse. Riverhouse will face directly both the southern and northern docks of the terminal in close proximity. This will exacerbate the disruption to the quality of life of the community. We have spent many years just dealing with the ferries docking at the northern side alone. BPCA is well aware of the issue of the noisy ferries and their disruption to the community. If moving the ferry terminal is part of the resiliency plan, it makes more sense to move the ferry terminal to a location where ferry noise won't be an issue to the community any more. We sincerely request BPCA to make this a requirement for all proposals and amend existing proposals. We sincerely ask the community board to institute a resolution to ensure the ferry terminal will only move to a location that reduce disruption to the community and not to make it worse.

9/19/2022

E-mail

Hi North West Resiliency Project team,

The ferry noise from the ferry terminal has been a consistent problem and disruption of quality of life to the BPC community at the northern side.

The disruption includes and not limited to:

- 1.Loud engine noise that wakes people up and causes discomfort.
- 2.The strong vibration from the ferry engine that causes vibration inside the apartments and on the windows of the nearby residential buildings
- 3.Excessive horn noise that blasts people off the bed
- 4.Air pollution from the engine exhaust fume.

All these are well documented. BPCA, Port Authority, Community Board 1, CB1 BPC subcommittee, residents of the community, and the terminal operator (NY Waterway) have been in continuous engagement, i.e., community meetings and online communication.

This has been on going for more than 13 years and counting.

In the BPCA Resiliency Plan, it proposed to move the ferry terminal to further north. This moves the ferry terminal further into the heart of the residential area. As a result, the disruption from the ferries will exacerbate more than doubled. Not only we have to suffer the disruption from the ferries docking at the northern side of the termina, but also suffer the disruption from the ferries docking at the southern side. When ferries dock simultaneously on the northern and southern sides, the disruption is more than doubled.

Over the years, there have been suggestions to move the ferry terminal to a location that will not cause disruption to the community or at least minimize its disruption. For example, instead of having the terminal in such close proximity to the residential buildings, move it much further away.

During the current planning stage of the resiliency project, this will be a perfect opportunity to solve two problems at the same time.

Therefore, we, the people living in BPC, sincerely request the BPCA North West Resiliency Project team to amend the current proposal.

Instead of moving the ferry terminal further north to place it directly in front of the residential buildings within a stone's throw, move it to a location that is far away from the residential area,

We look forward to the updated resiliency plan.

9/19/2022

E-mail

Sorry I had to leave the meeting early. I had an 8pm call.

About the Ferry Terminal.... If I understood correctly, in order to do the necessary work at the relieving platform line (edge of the Esplanade) where the Ferry Terminal currently is located, it will have to be moved.

The Reach Plan that moves it during construction and then puts it back will cost more than the Plan option that moves it to a "new" location.

Makes sense so far, assuming the assumption is correct that the resiliency work will require it to be moved in the first place.

I heard Tammy and some folks suggest it be moved SOUTH, rather than North...

Why South? Which is closer to Gateway? I recall noise complaints from Gateway residents when the previous iteration of a Ferry Terminal was farther South.... Similar to the noise complaints from 2 River Terrace now. So how is moving it closer to Gateway a good idea? What am I missing?

I heard (but did not understand) some technical reasons why "south" was not possible... something about wakes and damage to yachts?? But my concern is Gateway Plaza residents. Glad to be advised why this is not an issue....

However, my next question is: instead of moving the Ferry Terminal South or North a few feet, why not move it North of Chambers Street? Citibank has its Ferry coming into the Cove between Chambers Street and Pier 25... what about that area? Or North of Pier 26?

9/19/2022 Sorry I could not stay to ask these questions in person. Thanks for responding!

E-mail

9/19/2022 What are the cost comparisons mentioned as higher. Higher than what? Compared to the first presentation.

Verbal comment at boards

9/19/2022 Longer construction periods and higher costs are minimal for a permanent change.

Verbal comment at boards

9/19/2022 What is the actual cost and time differences?

Verbal comment at boards

9/19/2022 Why and who said not to consider moving the Ferry terminal south?

Verbal comment at boards

9/19/2022 What engineering studies have been done to move terminal south?

Verbal comment at boards

9/19/2022 This project going forward, does that mean that the BPCA has already made the decision to go forward no matter what the residents want?

Verbal comment at boards

9/19/2022 What is the science behind the "assumed" need for this project?

Verbal comment at boards

9/19/2022 Can you explain the assumption underlying all BPCA Resiliency Plans? So much information/disinformation is thrown around. Can you explain in a way that a layperson can understand please?

Verbal comment at boards

9/19/2022 During Hurricane Sandy, where in BPC was the most damage done? Is that why the BPCA addressed the Ballfield first?

Verbal comment at boards

9/19/2022 What happened to AECOM's plan for the North Project? We (CB1) liked some parts of it.

In-person comment card

9/19/2022 Please tell us other projects of a similar nature that you have completed.

In-person comment card

9/19/2022 Wagner Park - Have you been involved in that design?

In-person comment card

9/19/2022 What is the project cost of these designs?

In-person comment card

9/19/2022 How many groups were interviewed?

In-person comment card

9/19/2022 Should the Port Authority, an agency that doesn't pay taxes, be responsible for a portion of the costs since they benefit from this project?

In-person comment card

9/19/2022 What is the projected budget for North/West Resiliency? What is the project timeline? Why does BPCA not have on staff an engineer with a career instead of consultants?

In-person comment card

9/19/2022 Why is everything you're taking into consideration at Rockefeller - minimal community impact, saving trees, etc., the complete opposite of what the strategy is at Wagner? Didn't Wagner have no damage during Sandy?

9/19/2022 Are you overlooking things at Rockefeller?

Livestream comment

9/19/2022 It would be beneficial for BPCA to publish a clear and concise document for BPC residents and other interested parties that explains: Financing and overall budget for the project. Coordination between the BPC projects with other lower Manhattan resiliency projects. External oversights, who outside the BPCA and the contractors are vetting this project?

Livestream comment

9/19/2022 Can you please go over the cost, detail who will ultimately pay for these costs? Will federal funding be involved and if not, why not, please?

Livestream comment

9/19/2022 The water during Sandy never reached the buildings, while Wagner Park survived getting wet with minimal damage? Why the need to tear down the Wagner Park?

Livestream comment

9/19/2022 Why have you not considered roller gates for Wagner Park?

Livestream comment

9/19/2022 Thank you for all your hard work. I appreciate how much you are taking into consideration (Especially the trees) there are no easy solutions. Thank you.

Livestream comment

9/19/2022 Why isn't the treatment and concepts of reach 7 applied more holistically to all areas? (Partial flood, plan for it).

Livestream comment

9/19/2022	Is the 18' elevation noting the effects of wave and overtopping?	Livestream comment
9/19/2022	Why fix the area outside the regatta (the lower level platform)/Miramar now when change are planned soon? Cost!!!	In-person comment card
9/19/2022	During earlier presentations for Wagner, BPCA said this is about protecting all of New York, so BPC's interest had to be balanced with the city's interest - now you're saying only we benefit, so we only should pay?	Livestream comment
9/19/2022	Are any commerce opportunities being built into this project, as they are with Wagner Park?	Livestream comment
9/19/2022	Privacy wall alignment – Will the wall be built to have a deployable section? Will there still be access from 1st, 2nd, and 3rd Places to South Cove?	In-person comment card
	Regarding the ferry terminal, it seems like a move south would be an opportunity to connect the transportation infrastructure (subways) connected to Brookfield to the ferry terminal for commuters. Possibly include a covered walkway into Brookfield, so people stay dry. The interaction with the entrance which may get used a few times per day (by yacht owners) is a park that is used by hundreds of kids and adults every single day.	
9/19/2022	Just seems like a silly position for the Port Authority. Need to show them a win! A walkway is a win for thousands every day. This is a question of the few vs the masses.	In-person comment card
9/19/2022	Will any residents in the area have to be relocated during this project?	Livestream comment
9/19/2022	How long will it take to install and build the wall, what's there now, dirt, and will the playground be raised and why?	Verbal comment at boards
9/19/2022	Where do the flood barriers go, is the wall taking the place of the original stone wall? You're taking the existing wall out and replacing it with a new wall and the park stays the same?	Verbal comment at boards
9/19/2022	When will this be done?	Verbal comment at boards
9/19/2022	This is all too much information.	Verbal comment at boards
9/19/2022	What are these gaps in the wall?	Verbal comment at boards
9/19/2022	What is the size of this 9 feet? Will everything go back to normal after the construction? What is the timeline of construction?	Verbal comment at boards
9/19/2022	Was the risk level i.e. 100-year storm plus sea level rise to 2050 (which NPCC level or 90%) given to you or was it an informal choice after risk protection levels were explored by cost-benefit considerations?	In-person comment card
9/19/2022	Reach 4: A. <u>No</u> > Cost to move Ferry Terminal? Why is 4A even under consideration?!? Why is 4B a higher cost? → So no matter what, the Ferry Terminal has to move? Why not move it <u>North</u> ? Outside of BPC?	
9/19/2022	Maybe by/before Pier 25? South will be an issue for Gateway... as well as South Cove area... North is best option!	In-person comment card
9/19/2022	In response to moving the ferry question, why are the needs of the marina trumping the needs of a community and playground? If there was no redesign, the Port Authority would not be moving the terminal, so why is this a way to sneak it in? Why can't we make a move north similarly a no go?	Livestream comment
	Process + Goals + Timeline	
	If revised flood elevations with FEMA are released in 2024 – will that be too late to adjust the designs based on the published timeline?	
	What modifications are eligible?	
	Why not wait 18 months to see the new levels?	
9/19/2022	What % (90 vs. 50) is being used as a plan?	In-person comment card
9/19/2022	Why would the Port Authority want to move the Ferry Terminal? The views aren't blocked by the terminal. There must be a limit to how close diesel engines can be to a terminal.	Livestream comment
9/19/2022	What is an extended period of the park being shut down in 3A? Can the speakers clarify with greater detail as it pertains to timelines? Thanks!	Livestream comment
9/19/2022	If I understand the comment by the landscape architect properly, why would 3A close parts of the park for longer than 3B for Rockefeller Park – reach 3?	Livestream comment
9/19/2022	Reach 3 – It was said that, under first option, Rockefeller Park, in the future, would be unavailable for "extended period of time"? – why would that be? And what would be the extend to which Rockefeller Park will be unavailable and for how long, under each scenario?	Livestream comment
	1. Where are you getting the landfill from?	
	2. Will it smell bad?	
	3. Canal Street flooded during Super Storm Sandy. What prevents the water coming in from the north after coming in from the north?	
9/19/2022	4. Why is the ferry terminal platform being raised not depicted on your plan?	In-person comment card
9/19/2022	What about the volleyball court area?	In-person comment card
9/19/2022	What is the approximate timeline for this project and how many sections would it be broken down into?	Livestream comment
9/19/2022	How can you improve Wagner Park's design to get the Sierra Club to support your plan?	Livestream comment

9/19/2022	Q1: How long will the work take? And will it be done all at once or in tranches?	In-person comment card
9/19/2022	Q2: On the website, it says that no tree can be within 15 feet of the barriers, if that's the case, how many trees will be removed?	In-person comment card
9/19/2022	Can you please expand on your comment to create drainage improvements under 3A? To address flooding from rainfall? You mentioned that was part of the plans but we haven't seen that mentioned in any of the previous materials.	Livestream comment
9/19/2022	Why did this presentation exclude Wagner Park? Could you address the Wagner Park segment in the context of the overall project scope as you've done with the other segments?	Livestream comment
9/19/2022	Hi, can you please quantify the change to active green space (excluding planters) for each proposal for each stage? i.e. Stage 3 Rockefeller Park existing square footage, square footage under Plan A and under Plan B?	Livestream comment
9/19/2022	The Battery's Resiliency Plan is raising their land to what Wagner Park is right now and preserving its mature trees. Would we be able to preserve our mature trees?	Livestream comment
9/19/2022	1. Is it necessary to destroy Rockefeller Park in order to protect a neighborhood that did not flood during Sandy? Please consider the least intrusive and most cost efficient way to protect the city. 2. Why is effect on current residents not a design principle? 3. Do any of the people involved own and live in North BPC?	In-person comment card
9/19/2022	How/where does the public have the opportunity to reach/touch the water? In each or any reach?	In-person comment card
9/19/2022	For how long will Rockefeller be closed during the project and <u>when</u> is planned work for this reach?	In-person comment card
9/19/2022	Wagner Park is already designed to be resilient and already withstood flooding during Superstorm Sandy – a 260 year storm, so why are you leveling it and replacing green space with office space and retail opportunities?	Livestream Comment
9/19/2022	Which Reach 1A vs. 1B is more expensive? What is the cost of Reach 2? Reach 3A: We just renovated Rockefeller Park? 3B: Which is cheaper?	In-person comment card
9/19/2022	In your next presentation – give the speaker laser designators so they can point to the maps. Better give out handouts of the slides.	In-person comment card
9/19/2022	Are there better visualizations of the proposed designs than the slides you are showing? Particularly showing how water views will be affected?	In-person comment card
9/19/2022	How tall are the barriers in each of the reach sections?	In-person comment card
9/19/2022	The original ferry (temporary) terminal was <u>South</u> – why not move it temporarily South?	In-person comment card
9/19/2022	Are we going high enough? FEMA flood maps haven't been updated in 40 years (1983) and nearly every climate model seems to be underestimating impact rather than overestimating. I'd hate to see this neighborhood drown and then have to re-do this project bigger next time.	In-person comment card
9/19/2022	Reach 5: Which option is more expensive? Need more details about <u>5A</u> . I don't understand what a design "in land" could look like...	In-person comment card
9/19/2022	Rendering for 5A shows slip currently used by sailing school as removed. Can you guarantee this will <u>NOT</u> happen?	In-person comment card
9/19/2022	What is the height of the roller deployables of the South Esplanade reach?	In-person comment card
9/19/2022	Does this new elevation at 17' mean there is a 4' raising of the Winter Garden or will there be a 4' wall blocking the Winter Garden?	In-person comment card
9/19/2022	When looking at alignment – were only solid walls considered? Can the 8' wall be something that is partial deployable and partial full wall?	In-person comment card
9/19/2022	What are the adjustments and changes to the public space? Where are the fountains? Where are the art pieces and projects? What happened to the public volleyball courts? How is (with details) Esplanade Plaza changing? How does the Police Memorial change?	In-person comment card
9/19/2022	Why not move ferry terminal south? No one wants more ferry queueing – what is the increased elevation at the platform?	In-person comment card
9/19/2022	Why not move the ferry terminal south? Moving it north for 2 years causes a lot of noise disruption to the residents.	In-person comment card
9/19/2022	The graphics are hard to understand because it's hard to tell what's staying and leaving in each reach.	Verbal comment at boards
9/19/2022	There is a lot of general confusion on the cost of everything and the source of funding.	Verbal comment at boards
9/19/2022	Preserving the way the community moves around the built environment and open spaces, green spaces, etc. is important.	Verbal comment at boards
9/19/2022	The step down seating in the renderings is appreciated and supported.	Verbal comment at boards
9/19/2022	Why is presenting Wagner Park's 112 mature trees not prioritized in your design?	Livestream comment
9/19/2022	Have you considered any natural solutions instead of barriers and walls? If so, then why is no such solution presented here?	Livestream comment
9/19/2022	I appreciate the deep respect for the history of the public realm of Battery Park City and for the designers involved. Please help us understand what the community process was for Wagner Park.	Livestream comment
9/19/2022	Is flooding from rapid rainfall being addressed by these plans? Only flooding and storm surges are being mentioned by the designers.	Livestream comment
9/19/2022	Why are the visuals for the virtual meeting blurry and impossible to use? Has anyone on staff looked at them?	Livestream comment
9/19/2022	Will there be models?	Livestream comment
9/19/2022	What is the height of deployable barriers, including those across 9A?	Livestream comment

9/19/2022	When can we get a full list of every comment during the June 20 Open House? AECOM took pictures of the boards and we would like to see each picture and have them posted on the website.	Livestream comment
9/19/2022	Can you please list the names of the panelists and their roles? The name tags are hard to read on the livestream.	Livestream comment
9/19/2022	Given that the area did not flood during Sandy, why are you doing this with our tax dollars? Why not use these millions in taxes to fight crime and make the buildings safe?	In-person comment card
9/19/2022	It seems like this meeting was designed to obstruct what's actually being proposed. Nothing is being properly explained and the ridiculous constant passing of new cards for comments seems to be part of an agenda to distract us from the ability to really grasp what's going on, by blocking the screen.	In-person comment card
9/19/2022	Given that the current wall is higher than the water levels reached during Hurricane Sandy, and given that the number of extreme storms globally actually decreased during the period 2010-2019, why are we doing this level of construction here versus Battery Park (the tip of Manhattan, which is the only area that flooded during the hurricane)? Shouldn't we use the funds to fight the surge in crime?	In-person comment card
9/19/2022	When will these materials be posted & where can they be accessed?	Livestream comment
9/19/2022	Under 6A and 6B, is the volleyball court eliminated? It looks that way.	Livestream comment
9/19/2022	Under 5A and 5B, will there be fewer berths for boats in the marina? It certainly looks that way from the renderings.	Livestream comment
9/19/2022	Will all of these flood walls in your plans be permanently deployed or will they only be deployed and visible when there is a flood?	Livestream comment
9/19/2022	We received a flyer in the mail just a couple of days prior to this meeting. If it was a priority to invite the community into this process, wouldn't they have been sent much sooner?	Livestream comment
9/19/2022	During Hurricane Sandy, Northern Tribeca flood waters crossed West Street and entered inland at Laight Street as far east as the West Side of Washington Street flooding the buildings at that location. How does your plan for Reach One address that historic flood scenario?	Livestream comment
9/19/2022	There are rarely any queues/lines outside the ferry terminal, and the ferry terminal is already currently aligned with WTC and Brookfield complexes and the likely path of commuters to those commercial areas. In light of that, could you explain what the relative benefit would be of moving the terminal, given the very direct pollution/noise issues of placing the ferry terminal so close to the playground where community children play all day?	Livestream comment
9/19/2022	How many trees impacted in each scenario? What does impacted mean?	Livestream comment
9/19/2022	Do not do 3B.	In-person comment card
9/19/2022	Is the design team aware of the ferry noise issue in the community where the engine noise wakes people up and the engine vibration gets into the apartment? Residents can feel the vibration inside the apartment and on the windows. The ferry horn blasts people off the bed. The engine exhaust plume is significant air pollution. Moving it north will make the problem worse as it moves the terminal more into the residential area. Why not move it south, far away from the resident buildings? Such as moving it to reach 5?	In-person comment card
9/19/2022	Why is there no mention of Wagner Park???	In-person comment card
9/19/2022	Please have a session on the cost. What is the cost? Who will ultimately pay? Is there federal funding? If not, why not?	In-person comment card
9/19/2022	1. Please indicate what is the DFE in scheme 3A and 3b of Rockefeller Park. 2. Is the approach recommended here for "minimal park impact" consistent to the approach being used at Wagner Park?	In-person comment card
9/19/2022	1. What science studies have been done to show the flooding in Rockefeller? 2. What is the minimum elevation that FEMA requires for insurance?	In-person comment card
9/19/2022	Jurisdiction – do you have any authority to extend this project into Tribeca?	In-person comment card
9/19/2022	Upland Plaza – Re: area in front of Winter Garden – what would it take for that area to flood sufficiently to cause <i>[illegible]</i> ?	In-person comment card
9/19/2022	To Garrett/Jeremy, please show: explain in summary what permanent flood walls will be provided in each alignment in each reach. What is the size?	In-person comment card
9/19/2022	1. Will the BPCA reduce property taxes during the construction process to compensate residents and landlords for loss of access to the parks? 2. What is the range of estimates for completion of all phases of this project? 3. What is possible damage to adjacent neighborhoods because of this project?	In-person comment card
9/19/2022	Reach 4 – have any studies been done on the path that ferry passengers/commuters take and/or on what's the actual extent of lines/queuing outside the terminal itself? The latter does not seem to be an issue right now, and the path that ferry passengers/commuters take is already aligned with the commercial buildings.	In-person comment card
9/19/2022	Can you please explain more clearly what you mean by the "line of protection?" what are you proposing as "protection" in these various reaches? Deployable walls? Physical walls? Grading/berms?	In-person comment card
9/19/2022	What about Laight St. off the West Side Hwy? Are there plans in the works? What is the flood protection system?? Gates and what else? Why and who decided where the project start and ends?	In-person comment card
9/19/2022	Provide detail on wall along building live in Reach 6: - New wall? - Extend existing wall - Material - Thickness	In-person comment card
9/19/2022	Is Brookfield helping fund these improvements? They are a major beneficiary of this work and are exempt from land lease payments for the foreseeable future.	Livestream comment
9/19/2022	What is the estimated number of trees impacted in each reach?	In-person comment card
9/19/2022	What are the "walls"/barriers made of? Design?	In-person comment card
9/19/2022	These graphics are impossible to see. Process: 1. When will the alignment models be made available to the public? 2. Will BPCA leave the comment period open so the public can have more clarity? 3. This session is too dense – too much information on one night – will it be repeated for more clarity? If not – then will the answers and opportunity to ask more questions come again? 4. Will there be a guarantee to not proceed without the 2nd chance for the public to understand the issues?	In-person comment card

	General statement – Need for more clarity	
9/19/2022	1. Given the complexity of this project, detailed models of each reach should be provided at next meeting w/ large 3D drawings and perspectives. 2. In lieu of posters, slides should be used of each reach with questions taken as a group and answered with the group during the slide presentation without cards and without posters.	In-person comment card
	Timeline	
9/19/2022	Temporary resiliency measures are already purchased and being used by BPCA and Building owners – why not wait until the FEMA revisions and the appeal is settled? These plans seem to be 1 year ahead of what is needed at a minimum.	In-person comment card
	Modeling	
9/19/2022	Q. What are the modeling assumptions you're using to design these reaches? Have the models been validated by data?	In-person comment card
	Rockefeller Park	
9/19/2022	Q. Why are we worried about taking the park "out of service" for "extended period of time" when a reconstruction would take it out of service for two years?	In-person comment card
9/19/2022	What happens to duck pond?	In-person comment card
	Reach 6	
	6A. Already have an 8 foot wall in place in front of buildings...will likely kill trees against the buildings. 6B Platform – will it have to be redone??	
9/19/2022	Design element – Glass against buildings like what was done/planned at Museum of Jewish Heritage.	In-person comment card
	Reach 1: Garrett	
9/19/2022	Has BPCA met with state DOT to further consider (since rebuild time) the use of the median on 9A?	In-person comment card
	6A: Privacy wall alignment	
	How tall is the barrier?	
9/19/2022	How close to the buildings?	In-person comment card
9/19/2022	What's the difference in green space and costs between the different plans? Can we get a published table of stats around green space changes?	Livestream comment
9/19/2022	If we are using NYC plans and assumptions, why aren't we linking this project with other NYC projects (and funding)?	Livestream comment
9/19/2022	Why was the architectural and design team changed for NW resiliency? Is this because they are unhappy with the Wagner design project designed by the other team?	Livestream comment
	From 4 Residents of Gateway:	
9/19/2022	No urban beach at Esplanade Plaza. It is the Gateway residents' version of Rockefeller Park. Our Kowsky Park is our park. Do not touch it.	Livestream comment
9/19/2022	They're possibly going to put flood gates in front of Stuyvesant High School?	Verbal comment at boards
9/19/2022	The park would be reconstructed?	Verbal comment at boards
9/19/2022	I'm confused as to what is existing and what is proposed [referencing the images on the poster].	Verbal comment at boards
9/19/2022	Why does it [the alignment] have to go where the playground they just spent \$18 million on is?	Verbal comment at boards
9/19/2022	What is the Design Flood Elevation?	Verbal comment at boards
9/19/2022	We want to understand how high the wall and the park would be.	Verbal comment at boards
9/19/2022	Are the rebuilt platforms going to be made of steel?	Verbal comment at boards
9/19/2022	How will [the relieving platform supports] go into the ground?	Verbal comment at boards
9/19/2022	How does the phasing work? How will you be able to use the park?	Verbal comment at boards
9/19/2022	I like 3A.	Verbal comment at boards
9/19/2022	Why does the platform need to change?	Verbal comment at boards
9/19/2022	Even though I live in 22 River Terrace, the noise from the ferry terminal is significant.	Verbal comment at boards
9/19/2022	I don't understand raising [the ferry terminal] and then [still] being able to enter the ferry.	Verbal comment at boards
9/19/2022	When they were talking about Brookfield, I don't understand how it won't change.	Verbal comment at boards

9/19/2022	The small [circular image] sections aren't doing it. We'd like to know what it looks like today and the changes you're proposing. It's hard to understand what you're keeping and what you're throwing out. Why can't we keep the existing platform and be more surgical about it?	Verbal comment at boards
9/19/2022	We will go to whatever lengths as a community to get the permitting required to preserve the space.	Verbal comment at boards
9/19/2022	My concern is move it [the ferry terminal] to north cove.	Verbal comment at boards
9/19/2022	Esplanade Plaza is a heavily used community space. I'd say don't fix what's not broken. We don't need an urban beach there. Almost all the esplanade area is used and people like it. It's beloved by the community.	Verbal comment at boards
9/19/2022	The public would like to know when cost would be addressed.	Verbal comment at boards
9/19/2022	If you did reconstruct the platform, what would it mean?	Verbal comment at boards
9/19/2022	Cost differential [between plans] is confusing.	Verbal comment at boards
9/19/2022	Will it raise taxes?	Verbal comment at boards
9/19/2022	How will the schedule impact residents?	Verbal comment at boards
9/19/2022	The projects projected on the screen was much less clear than it is here [on the posterboards]. From the Q&A, it is necessary to move the terminal in order to have work done on the wall where the terminal is. It is PA and BPCA's idea to move it to the north whereas moving it to the south will cause wave (from the ferry approaching from the south) to enter the marina and it is a safety concern for vessels exiting the marina. Moving the terminal 150 feet north will place it 100% closer to and directly in front of Riverhouse. Its residents will suffer doubled amount of the noise pollution as they are now fully exposed to the ferries docking on both the northern and southern sides of the terminal. Moving it south (if it was possible) by the same distant will shorten the distance to gateway by 10%, and the distant is still relative very far away. Will need to consult a sound engineer to see if this will cause the noise impact to increase to Gateway and by how much or the noise level will stay the same as it is today. There is not any noise mitigation plan for the two years the terminal moved in front of Riverhouse. This is something we want to see from the design team, PA, and BPCA.	Verbal comment at boards
9/20/2022	The suggestion of moving the terminal to Pier 25 or even another location away from the residential area is great. Hopefully that is a conversation that can take place between the community/community board and PA/BPCA.	E-mail
	There are larger issues including but not limited to connectivity to mass transit, environmental issues for the established Estuarium, lack of access to public restrooms during Ferry Operation hours, contracts and leases on and around Pier 25/26 that I suspect would remove it as a suitable location. As noted, the movement South would retain all of the tangential needs of the commuting public (access to transit, bathrooms, etc.). The concerns shared last night by the Project Team that the Port Authority said it would be too much impact for the boats in North Cove may be moot as the entire area will be under construction and the Marina itself will need to modify its usages during that time. There may be portions when the entire Marina is closed to use due to the project, something the Port Authority may not have considered. The marina itself will change dramatically and I suspect that the PANYNJ is not looking at the Marina lease nor the plans as it relates to the future operations of the Marina (not their property) and instead is using today's usage as its parameters for the suggestions. We requested the information last night that the Port Authority and Project Team were using for their analysis of the siting for the temporary ferry terminal. My hope is we will see clarity in that documentation. re: Gateway Plaza Yes there may be an increased impact on Gateway Plaza with noise from the ferries but the part no one seems to be considering is that the residents in Gateway will experience a much larger impact of noise from the project itself. That is unavoidable and frankly may in itself drown out the ferry noise during active construction hours.	
9/20/2022	Again, we need the documentation and studies showing the information to have a more detailed and informed conversation but at least the project team has heard this all loud and clear - movement of the temp ferry terminal 170' North is untenable for the public realm uses of the parks and the residents.	E-mail

We all appreciate your comments at the meeting. Hopefully there will be more renderings so people can get a better sense of how views will be affected. It will likely make the meetings go a bit smoother. It would be great if for future meetings a mike stand can be set up for people to come up and ask questions versus people writing duplicate questions and/or trying to get a nuanced question into a legible form. People can wait in line at the stand. The note cards just seem silly. Most people don't write very often since we use computers so handwriting can be extremely messy and annoying.

Just wanted to make add a point to something said yesterday. The Resiliency mentioned in the meeting as one of their pros of moving the terminal to the North that it would give the NJ waterway room to queue more boats for the dock. Some people may have missed that comment. Clearly there is no interest from residents of the area to have more boats queuing with their engines revving. It feels like that committee seems to miss the point about the Ferries.

Moreover, there seemed to be some uncertainty as to if the dock being moved south the 170' would cause a real disruption to the marina. It seemed to be more speculation. It's a fact that moving it north it will cause more disruption.

If the Marina is going to be closed anyway, why not move south for the duration of the project?

9/20/2022

E-mail

Subject: Meeting re N/W Battery Park Resiliency

Hello,

Is there a link to watch the meeting from 9/19 online?

9/22/2022

E-mail

Subject: Link to 9/19 Community Meeting

Hi,

Is there a link to the recording of the last community meeting?

9/23/2022 Thanks

E-mail

Subject: North/West Battery Park City Resiliency Project Community Meeting #4

Hi,

I had registered to attend this meeting in person, but was unable to attend. Could you please send me the link to access the materials virtually?

9/25/2022

E-mail

Subject: Will any tenants have to evacuate their buildings doing construction?

Will any tenants have to evacuate their buildings doing construction?

I asked this question in the CHAT section of the Zoom conference, but it wasn't addressed.

9/26/2022

E-mail

Subject: North/West Battery Park City Resiliency Project Community Meeting #4

Hi,

I attended the North/West Battery Park City Resiliency Project Community Meeting #4. The walkthrough of the potential plans for all of the zones in battery park seems thoughtful in regards to fortifying the area for resiliency while maintaining the beauty that already exists. I noticed that Wagner Park seems to be on a different trajectory altogether which doesn't seem to make sense. Our family very much enjoys Wagner Park and we would like to see the thoughtful design that your engineers and designers at Meeting #4 presented applied to it. We understand that this is a new design team and we think that Wagner should be included in the overall plan in a cohesive manner in this way.

9/26/2022

E-mail

Subject: power point display

Dear sirs,

I enjoyed the presentation last Monday night. however, I would like to make a suggestion, re: a power point display, to further enhance your project. A pointer would give a sense of location when you show a diagram or picture.

9/27/2022

E-mail

9/28/2022 How is this impacted by the latest report/recommendation from the Army Corps of Engineer and their choice of option 3B? <https://www.nan.usace.army.mil/Missions/Civil-Works/Projects-in-New-York/New-York-New-Jersey-Harbor-Tributaries-Focus-Area-Feasibility-Study/>

Virtual Activity Board:
Project Overview

Subject: Comments on BPC NW Resiliency project

Comments on BPC NW Resiliency project future community engagement:

I strongly recommend BPCA present a comprehensive resiliency plan for the entirety of Battery Park City that includes the following essential elements:

1. The principles and data that underlie all current resiliency plans holistically across BPC;
2. How each planned segment adheres to or deviates from these principles, and why;
3. The details of how, precisely, physically, logistically, and chronologically the current resiliency plans interconnect; and
4. What changes to these plans should be considered in light of the new U.S. Army Corps of Engineers NY/NJ HATS proposal.

At future meetings, I ask (again) that these elements be presented with the climate scientists responsible for advising the Authority on hand to present and explain to the public the principles driving all plans and to address the rationale for deviations from the principles and differences between the plans.

Meetings should be conducted in a large space with clear large scale slides for group review (as was done years ago at JHM). The public should be able to ask their own questions. **No questions should be read from cards by a moderator.** Drawings and models should be on view at some location in BPCA for a minimum of two weeks.

Models and perspectives should be provided showing views from the ground, not only aerial.

NYS DOT should be encouraged to work with BPCA on ideas for the median on route 9A in the north reach.

Thank you.

9/29/2022

E-mail

9/29/2022 How is this impacted by any of the Army Corps of Engineer proposals as they are still open for comment and hence there could be opportunity to have a more water-based solution.

Virtual Activity Board:
Project Overview

9/29/2022 Design principles are: Minimal impact, Maintain character of existing parks, Green over concrete, Preservation of mature trees and green spaces, Prioritization of vulnerable areas first

Virtual Activity Board:
Project Overview

9/29/2022	Please ensure you get feedback from Battery Park Montessori so you do not block all the windows for the kids in the school. I understand this is one of the more at risk areas because it was one of the first areas of fill and soil quality is low. It is why trees went down here in storms.	Virtual Activity Board: Reach 6A: Privacy Wall
9/29/2022	Clear design principles of minimal disruption and preservation of mature trees.	Virtual Activity Board: Reach 6B
9/29/2022	Are there deployables or other ways to address Regatta views? Do not understand this proposal vs alternative	Virtual Activity Board: Reach 7
9/29/2022	Not preferred	Virtual Activity Board: Reach 1B
9/29/2022	Can we get more information to understand this better. More visuals and pros/cons of options. Many in the community are confused. Want to also understand how accounts for impacts of rain and increased heat also caused by climate change.	Virtual Activity Board: Reach 1B
9/29/2022	Need to understand if more opportunity for nature based approaches like oysters, marshes etc. Also last open house, made a comment about a floating pool + Would like to see this option with pros and cons and presented to community for feedback.	Virtual Activity Board: Reach 2A
9/29/2022	The comment in the open house from the crowd was whether this was even really an alternative and that this reach needed a more legitimate alternative. This component of the presentation also elicited community outcry.	Virtual Activity Board: Reach 5B
9/29/2022	Do not understand this to be able to comment on it. Need a lot more details and visuals	Virtual Activity Board: Reach 1A
9/29/2022	Absolutely not. This is the approach taken at Wagner Park that needs to be revised and is causing significant outcry. This was the approach at ESCR that also is causing significant outcry.	Virtual Activity Board: Reach 3B
9/30/2022	Please see appended, my responses prepared for our recent public meeting. [Document attached]	E-mail
9/30/2022	If the Army Corps of Engineers are placing flood barriers around NYC, why are we undertaking this outrageous expense and destruction to our neighborhood????	E-mail
9/30/2022	What do you mean by technical complexity? How is this a disadvantage? 6a appears from this brief description to be preferable to 6b for minimal impact. Please consult residences nearby for thoughts on the disruption to views.	Virtual Activity Board: Reach 6A
9/30/2022	No, 6B is not a good option. We want minimal disruption and construction.	Virtual Activity Board: Reach 6B
9/30/2022	Can you describe more how this would impact Washington Market, especially considering construction closure of green space along Battery Park? Where are parents and little kids supposed to go during construction? These spaces are absolutely vital to our communities and should only be disrupted if there is no other possible viable alternative.	Virtual Activity Board: Reach 1B
9/30/2022	This looks ugly. Is this a legitimate option?	Virtual Activity Board: Reach 5B
9/30/2022	How would this impact pedestrian use on N Moore St.? This isn't very clear what is happening.	Virtual Activity Board: Reach 1A
9/30/2022	This plan is horrible. BPC residents and their neighbors love their green space and want to preserve as much of it as we can. We want continuous access to green space, and everyone that just got booted out of Wagner Park is going to want to come here. You can't close all the green space downtown!	Virtual Activity Board: Reach 3B
10/1/2022	From the presentation of the 7-reach on the YouTube, it seems that this is still at the conceptual stage. Would like to learn more details on the conceptual design and the materials selected for building the flood barrier systems and how it will help prevent damages from super storm. Additionally, with the recently unveiled \$52 billion proposal from the U.S. Army Corps Engineers -- to multiple build 12 moveable sea barriers to protect the area from super storm, how will this impact the current BPC plan?	
10/1/2022	Thanks.	E-mail
10/3/2022	Can you provide higher resolution pictures and include a comparison current state vs the future state, please also include elevations. Most of the buildings here have pretty high walls facing the esplanade - can you explain what will be different?	Virtual Activity Board: Reach 6A
10/3/2022	The images are too low resolution to be legible. Please post hi res pdf. Thank you.	Virtual Activity Board: Reach 1A
10/3/2022	The lowest elevation is on West St side - how it will be addressed?	Virtual Activity Board: Full Alignment
10/3/2022	I listened to the September 19, Community Meeting, and the experts as well as the top executives of BPCA., didn't actually say how many feet higher Wagner Park will be after this intervention. They limited themselves to speak about the marina, facing Winter Garden, and there was no clear answer -if sea level goes up by 2 - 3 feet- what will happen to Battery Park City as other parts of the city are not doing anything to protect their communities from a raising sea. In few words, this redesign of Wagner Park is a waste of money. What we need is an overall city project building a protective structure -as the Dutch have done for their cities- at the very entrance to the harbor.	Virtual Activity Board: 3B
10/3/2022	This plan is terrible, it replaces grass with concrete, breaks up open green space which is so scarce south of Central Park. Please please please do not do this, it would destroy the gem that is Rockefeller Park and be a huge mistake and shame for whoever decided to do it.	Virtual Activity Board: Reach 3B

10/3/2022 How does this project address the impact of drainage rainfall?	Virtual Activity Board: Project Overview
10/3/2022 Can you show better resolution and mark elevations?	Virtual Activity Board: Reach 1B
10/3/2022 This pathway is commonly full with families and runners all summer. The wider pathway is important and necessary for the regular use of this space.	Virtual Activity Board: Reach 2A
10/3/2022 I have made comments on some of the individual reach proposals. Where can we see details of the costs and how this work will be paid for? Where are details of the selection process for design and construction teams, advisors etc.? i.e. RFP submissions, scoring, disclosure of key individuals, conflicts of interest etc. Is federal funding considered and if not then why not please?	Virtual Activity Board: Project Overview
<p>The images here are too blurry. They are impossible to me to dive into and interpret. If you provide better quality graphics and extend the deadline for comments, I will try to provide meaningful input to the best of my ability.</p> <p>It is important to me that you start with the goal of not cutting down one tree and work from there. In my opinion, the goal should include a beautiful design that protects us from climate change and enhances the neighborhood.</p> <p>The sledgehammer approach to Wagner Park is sickening—literally. I hope common sense will prevail and a sensible, sensitive, modern plan that makes the most of Wagner’s assets is implemented. With logical and effective plans underway for Battery Park, and equally thoughtful plans available for consideration for the North/West, Wagner will look like a a 17-foot pink pimple in the middle.</p> <p>10/3/2022 And, the wind effect around that isohill would likely be intense during even a mild storm.</p>	
<p>There is no mention of the existing BPC features (e.g., fish pond) that will have to be removed as a result of this project. Can you please provide the following list:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - How many trees will be removed? - How many BPC features will need to be removed? 	
10/3/2022 - How many playgrounds will be removed or inaccessible for a number of years?	Virtual Activity Board: Project Overview
10/3/2022 I have made comments on some of the individual reach proposals. Where can we see details of the costs and how this work will be paid for? Where are details of the selection process for design and construction teams, advisors etc.? i.e. RFP submissions, scoring, disclosure of key individuals, conflicts of interest etc. Is federal funding considered and if not then why not please?	Virtual Activity Board: Project Overview
9/30/2022 I find the diagrams hard to understand online. They're too small. OVERALL: please don't cut down the trees!! They were planted 40+ years ago and their root system stabilizes the ground. Don't destroy mature trees in the name of climate resiliency (like Paris is proposing to do).	Virtual Activity Board: Welcome Board
10/3/2022 Please don't cut down mature trees in the name of resiliency. Some of the designs presented at this meeting were thoughtful to the community and not as severe as what is currently planned for Wagner Park. That was relieving. Please include Wagner in these thoughtful plans.	Virtual Activity Board: Welcome Board
10/3/2022 The US Army Corps of Engineers has announced a plan to construct storm surge barriers in the waterways. Has the plan for the Battery Park City resiliency project considered the necessity of the project given the significant storm surge barriers planned by the US Army Corps? Has there been any coordination or integration of efforts among BPCA and US Army Corps concerning these two independent projects?	Virtual Activity Board: Welcome Board
9/28/2022 This is by far the best option. Option 3B would mean that the park is out of reach for a very long time. Likely a lot longer than the worst case scenario in case of a storm. But why does the newly renovated playground need to be rebuilt? Couldn't the wall pass behind it? Also the site says that no tree can be within 15 feet of the barrier, does that mean that trees on North End would have to be removed?	Virtual Activity Board: Reach 3A

Minimal impact, nature-based approach and make room for the water.

Would like to see options for adjusting the flood line to simply allow for playground (which was recently renovated) to flood. I was told in the open house this could be possible and it should be presented to the community as an option with pros/cons.

Are there ways to preserve the duck pond too and would like to see options with pros/cons.

Would like options to add more green (like expanding tree beds along the sidewalk next to River Terrace) presented to community with pros/cons.

If there are any trees destroyed here, please provide a detailed explanation to the community and ensure that the community is comfortable with it before proceeding.

Overall, need significantly more visuals for community to understand and opine.

9/29/2022 We want to ensure SCAPE has a big say in this as well as the horticulturists on staff at the BPCA. We want them to be able to advise and have their opinions incorporated.

Virtual Activity Board: Reach 3A

9/30/2022 3A is much superior! Please preserve access to these spaces as much as possible for the duration of any construction. These spaces are vital for our communities. The playground which has just been renovated (and we all waited for) should be preserved.

Virtual Activity Board: Reach 3A

10/3/2022 This is a much less intrusive alternative. I'd like to see estimates of likely frequency and damage including time to restore and costs. Additionally, the playground was only just reconstructed. Is there not an alignment that maintains this? What would the tradeoffs be?

Virtual Activity Board: Reach 3A

10/3/2022 3A appears to be the better alternative for reach 3 maintaining the open green area of Rockefeller Park. Can the trees be relocated rather than destroying them? Why can't the playground, which was recently renovated and which the community waited so long for, be kept intact and open? Why can't the plan accommodate that? Can the trees to be impacted be clearly identified and details provided of what will happen to them, how the number of trees overall in the area can be maintained and what can be done to minimize loss of trees?

Virtual Activity Board: Reach 3A

10/3/2022 3A appears to be the better alternative for reach 3 maintaining the open green area of Rockefeller Park. Can the trees be relocated rather than destroying them? Why can't the playground, which was recently renovated and which the community waited so long for, be kept intact and open? Why can't the plan accommodate that? Can the trees to be impacted be clearly identified and details provided of what will happen to them, how the number of trees overall in the area can be maintained and what can be done to minimize loss of trees?

Virtual Activity Board: Reach 3A

9/29/2022 This is where the crowd at the open house started to yell at the Authority. There needs to be more detailed discussions about this. Pros/cons, etc. The community does not understand enough to properly comment.

Virtual Activity Board: Reach 4A

9/30/2022 I agree with the above comment, I'd like to hear more details about why anyone at the Authority thought moving the terminal further north toward the residential buildings makes sense. Views of the Statue of Liberty from the Irish Hunger Memorial would definitely not offset the additional noise, traffic, and disturbance from having the terminal closer to the playgrounds.

Virtual Activity Board: Reach 4A

9/30/2022 Please don't move the ferry terminal closer to the playgrounds. This will increase dangers for kids getting lost/on to the ferry from the playground, create unnecessary crowding near the playground, and just plain is a waste of money. Leave the ferry where it is!

Virtual Activity Board: Reach 4A

9/30/2022 Adding to say that you should leave the recently renovated playground alone! You just skip over all the details on what would happen, and how long it would be closed, but we've already waited a long time for it's renovation. It should remain as is, with continuous access.

Virtual Activity Board: Reach 4A

10/3/2022 The speakers noted that a more southerly alignment of the ferry terminal (in its existing configuration) would negatively impact the comings and goings of the marina. Would an alternate configuration, one that eliminated South side docking stations at the terminal allow this?

Virtual Activity Board: Reach 4A

10/3/2022 The ferry terminal should not be moved closer to residential areas or the playground. Please do not close the playground which was closed for so long recently when it was JUST renovated!

Virtual Activity Board: Reach 4A

9/28/2022 This is much better than 5B. What's not clear is whether this would obscure the views for diners at Liberty Bistro and PJ Clark. Would be great if it didn't.

Virtual Activity Board: Reach 5A

9/29/2022 Need more visuals. More shade, more green. An area of opportunity. Heavy use by the employees of corporations and you must engage their HR and real estate departments to understand their opinions as well. As the SEC implements climate disclosure requirements where companies have to measure/disclose their climate related risks with flooding specifically called out, there could be opportunities for more public-private partnership... and potentially extra funding from corporations that will derive extra value from this work.

Virtual Activity Board: Reach 5A

10/3/2022	Preferable alignment. Would the sailing club maintain access? Renderings would go a long way on this one especially as the esplanade is slated to be higher than floor of the winter garden.	Virtual Activity Board: Reach 5A
9/29/2022	Do not understand Reach 1 and how integrated all the way up through the west side of Manhattan. Without this coordination and an understanding, you may be creating more risk. This must be an integrated plan to ensure we properly address climate risk for all Manhattan.	Virtual Activity Board: Project Overview
9/29/2022	I do not understand reach 1 and need better visuals on this one and more to opine on it. I hear this from many in the community.	Virtual Activity Board: Project Overview
10/2/2022	Is it possible to see with a higher resolution and also a comparison of a current state vs a future state? Otherwise, it is totally unclear details of the plan.	Virtual Activity Board: Project Overview
9/28/2022	Water access is a nice change. +1 for this option if the cost / work duration difference isn't significant.	Virtual Activity Board: Reach 2B
10/3/2022	If the costs aren't prohibitive, seems worth the effort to expand this well used stretch including added natural elements, water interaction, and not mentioned above, but presumably either greater flood protection or lower flood wall requirements.	Virtual Activity Board: Reach 2B
10/3/2022	Widened shared pathway and greater planting areas are worth the interagency coordination.	Virtual Activity Board: Reach 2B
9/28/2022	Could the ferry just be moved away from the shore, with longer pathways that can land passengers where there isn't construction, rather than fully relocated?	Virtual Activity Board: Reach 4B
9/29/2022	Same comment as 4A	Virtual Activity Board: Reach 4B
9/30/2022	Why do both of these plans involve modifying the recently renovated play area? Leave the playground alone. We endured it's closure long enough, now have lost Wagner, and you just want to keep closing essential facilities for families who live here. Please just leave the playground alone so we can play!	Virtual Activity Board: Reach 4B
10/3/2022	Do not move the ferry terminal closer to the residential areas or the playground and do not close the playground - it was recently closed for so long. How was this potential work not considered when the decision was made to renovate the playground recently?	Virtual Activity Board: Reach 4B
10/3/2022	I prefer the Chambers option for Reach 1: This appears to have a lot of potential. The area around Stuyvesant needs work anyway; it is a blank plaza. Much better than wrecking the greenway/bikeway. Passive is also obviously better. I still wish elevating and/or reconfiguring 9A was an option. The greenway needs more space for circulation; it is too narrow as it is, especially now that e-bikes and e-scooters are also using this thoroughfare.	Virtual Activity Board: Project Video
10/3/2022	I am not in favor of implementing the project as designed. The project is based on flawed and outdated data. I suggest further investigation be considered before the destruction of Wagner Park as well as the rest of Battery Park City. Have the environmental designers, engineers, architects, landscape designers consulted with professionals in other countries faced with similar waterfront challenges, such as Holland?	Virtual Activity Board: Welcome Board
10/3/2022	Why building an inland wall around Battery Park City is the best approach to fight coastal flooding? The Netherlands, where about one third of the country lies below sea level, had built the levees and storm surge barriers to prevent coastal flooding. They're not encircling their cities with walls!	Virtual Activity Board: Project Overview
10/3/2022	This is not preferred. If the modeling will not allow for Reach 1B, this could be improved by putting the barrier between the bike path and the west side highway, rather than between the pedestrian and bike paths. That would provide an additional sound/pollution barrier for bikers and pedestrians, which would be an added benefit. But overall Reach 1B is preferred over this one.	Virtual Activity Board: Reach 1A
10/3/2022	This alignment is preferred because it involves less disruption overall, and less disruption of the Greenway, which is already very narrow and crowded (both the pedestrian and bike sides) in these few blocks. If the modeling supports this alignment as a safe option this would be preferred.	Virtual Activity Board: Reach 1B
10/3/2022	Option 2B is preferable for several important reasons: actual access to the water for boating (not just seating by the water) and more options for greenery on a wider pathway.	Virtual Activity Board: Reach 2B
10/3/2022	This is preferred if it's not cost prohibitive and doesn't significantly delay the overall project.	Virtual Activity Board: Reach 2B
10/3/2022	This might be a minimal impact design (and is far preferable than 3B), but it could still make room for new ways to think about resilience, and natural ways to adapt the park for inundation. There's opportunity with this design for the BPCA to lead and influence resilience design options elsewhere.	Virtual Activity Board: Reach 3A
10/3/2022	This is the preferred option-leaves the park (and esplanade) largely open during construction and is less invasive overall. Also cheaper. Win-win-win.	Virtual Activity Board: Reach 3A
10/3/2022	This is not preferred- disrupts the park both during construction and afterwards. Also more expensive.	Virtual Activity Board: Reach 3B

10/3/2022	<p>It seems like the ferry terminal has to be moved at least for the construction period (I don't understand why this is, but the rest of my comment is assuming this is a given). If the main complaints about the ferry terminal's proximity to residential buildings are noise and pollution, both of which travel freely through the air, I don't understand how moving the terminal 50 ft is going to make any difference at all (especially in the context of the buildings being 100s of feet away already). Whereas moving it twice seems like a colossal waste of money and extension to the construction period (which would likely disrupt free movement along the promenade, affecting far more people than just the residents of the nearby buildings). It seems like there must be a solution to the noise and pollution at its cause– work with the ferry companies to get cleaner ferries (which they should be doing anyway) and have rules in place about use of horns. Also, I will point out that if the boats aren't queueing for spots near land, and can get to and from the terminal more efficiently if the terminal is moved, this would reduce overall pollution. Perhaps the residents of the nearby buildings want to pay for the terminal to be moved a second time?</p>	Virtual Activity Board: Reach 4B
10/3/2022	<p>Agree with more shade, more green. Would it be possible to design the south esplanade open space to achieve more of a green footprint? This would bring it in line with the majority of the BPC areas that directly border the Hudson. Creating a sandy beach oval in this exposed corner area that often experiences high winds will more than likely result in a host of pitfalls. More trees/green would be in alignment with the current climate initiative to protect our planet and also benefit many in the neighborhood.</p>	Virtual Activity Board: Reach 5A
10/3/2022	<p>Agree with design team that this option is much preferred to a wall at the outside of the marina.</p>	Virtual Activity Board: Reach 5A
10/3/2022	<p>This is definitely preferred– minimal disruption, less cost and construction duration, etc. All attempts should be made to keep at least some continuous path open during construction.</p>	Virtual Activity Board: Reach 6A
10/3/2022	<p>This seems like the best option– having walls further out (which seems like the only other option) would disrupt flow along the paths, views for people walking/biking, access, etc. Would be nice if we could transition the lower planted areas to plants that can tolerate (or even benefit from) regular inundation.</p>	Virtual Activity Board: Reach 7